



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/874,872

06/05/2001

Atul Puri

2001-0161A

6019

83224 7590 08/10/2009  
AT & T LEGAL DEPARTMENT - NDQ  
ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING  
ONE AT & T WAY, ROOM 2A-207  
BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921

EXAMINER

WONG, ALLEN C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2621

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/10/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/874,872	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PURI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Allen Wong	<b>Art Unit</b> 2621	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 October 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-16, 18-22 and 27-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 7-16, 18-22 and 27-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 05 June 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                       | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Arguments*

1. Applicant's arguments filed 10/14/08 have been fully read and considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding lines 9-13 on page 12 of applicant's remarks, applicant states that In re Van Geuns case is irrelevant to the Section 103 obviousness analysis. The examiner respectfully disagrees. With regards to the citation of In re Van Geuns case, the relevance is that the term "portion" is a generic term used by one of ordinary skill in the art, and that the term "portion" can be reasonably interpreted as a "part" or "limited quantity of anything", as defined in Webster's Dictionary, as well as the general definition of the term "portion". In column 42, lines 47-61, Lee discloses that each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape. Thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask. In figure 27A, Lee discloses there are at least two video portions or "parts", wherein elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 are the "portions" or "parts", in that there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970. In figure 35, Lee discloses that frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b.

Regarding the last paragraph on page 12 of applicant's remarks, applicant states that one cannot redefine the term "portion" as it is used within any particular reference to be broader or different by mere citation of Webster's Dictionary because we are

Art Unit: 2621

limited to the scope of the usage as a term is used by the inventor in the specification.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. It is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., portion) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Again, the term “portion” is a generic term used by one of ordinary skill in the art, and that the term “portion” can be reasonably interpreted as a “part” or “limited quantity of anything”, as defined in Webster’s Dictionary, as well as the general definition of the term “portion”. See above paragraphs and the rejection below.

Regarding lines 3-5 on page 13 of applicant’s remarks, applicant asserts that there is no citation to Lizner et al. for any discussion of a group of frames that are organized in a temporal, multiframe fashion, as disclosed in claim 1. The examiner respectfully disagrees. In column 6, lines 56-58, Linzer’s figure 3 discloses that elements 32-1 to 32-n are the plural MPEG encoders, in that each of the encoders 32-1 to 32-n compress a temporal, multiframe segment of the available video content known in MPEG as a group of frames (GOPs) or a group of frames organized in a temporal, multiframe or grouped frames that can be partitioned into multiple frame segments, and that the multiple frame segments are compressed by encoders 32-1 to 32-n. In other words, Linzer discloses the implementation of compressing multiple video sources with multiple temporal frame portions in that each source consists multiple GOPs with multiple frames. Thus, Linzer teaches that each of the at least two video portions comprise a temporal, multiframe segment of the video content. Therefore, it would have

Art Unit: 2621

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Linzer into the system of Lee for permitting accurately, efficiently encoding multiple video streaming image data while maintaining high image quality, as suggested in Linzer's column 4, lines 39-42.

Regarding lines 5-8 on page 13 of applicant's remarks, applicant asserts that Official Notice of this temporal multiframe segment feature is taken and to the extent that this is the case, applicants traverse this taking of Official Notice and request citation to a reference that actually teaches this temporal multiframe segment feature. The examiner respectfully disagrees. This is not an Official Notice because the "temporal multiframe segment" limitation is a well known to one of ordinary skill in the art of video compression, video analysis, especially in MPEG video compression/decompression techniques since a GOP or a Group of Frames or Group of Pictures is group of frames organized in a temporal, multiframe or grouped frames that can be partitioned into multiple frame segments. Thus, in column 6, lines 56-58, Linzer's figure 3 discloses that elements 32-1 to 32-n are the plural MPEG encoders, in that each of the encoders 32-1 to 32-n compress a temporal, multiframe segment of the available video content known in MPEG as a group of frames (GOPs) or a group of frames organized in a temporal, multiframe or grouped frames that can be partitioned into multiple frame segments, and that the multiple frame segments are compressed by encoders 32-1 to 32-n. Linzer discloses the implementation of compressing multiple video sources with multiple temporal frame portions in that each source consists multiple GOPs with

Art Unit: 2621

multiple frames. Thus, Linzer teaches that each of the at least two video portions comprise a temporal, multiframe segment of the video content.

Regarding lines 18-23 on page 13 of applicant's remarks, applicant contends that applicant's invention differs from the Linzer teaching and the Group of Pictures in MPEG-2 video coding standard are not organized in the same manner. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Again, the claims are interpreted in a reasonable manner in that a temporal, multiframe segment of the available video content known in MPEG as a group of frames (GOPs) or a group of frames organized in a temporal, multiframe or grouped frames that can be partitioned into multiple frame segments. Claim 1 does not specifically disclose the how these temporal multiframe segments are arranged, but only states that "each of the at least two video content portions comprises a temporal, multiframe segment of the video content". Thus, "temporal multiframe segment" can be reasonably interpreted as a group of pictures or group of frames (GOPs).

Regarding lines 3-4 and lines 12-14 on page 15, and lines 3-5 on page 16 of applicant's remarks, applicant asserts that Lee teaches away from MPEG-2, and leads one to not combine Lee and Linzer, and there is no suggestion to combine Lee and Linzer. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Both Lee and Linzer are considered to be combinable because Lee and Linzer pertain to the same video compression/decompression, MPEG-2. See Lee column 1, line 46 and Linzer column 1, line 46, as both Lee and Linzer pertain to MPEG-2 video coding standard. Thus, it is reasonable to combine the teachings of Lee and Linzer as a whole. The examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the

Art Unit: 2621

teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Linzer into the system of Lee for permitting accurately, efficiently encoding multiple video streaming image data while maintaining high image quality, as suggested in Linzer's column 4, lines 39-42.

Regarding last line on page 15 to line 2 on page 16 and lines 12-13 on page 16 of applicant's remarks, applicant asserts that the combination of Lee and Linzer would be modified in an unsatisfactory manner for its intended purpose. The examiner respectfully disagrees. In response to applicant's argument that Lee and Linzer would be modified in an unsatisfactory manner for its intended purpose, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

The test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have

Art Unit: 2621

suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981).

One cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Regarding pages 16-17 of applicant's remarks about the 101 rejection on claims 27-29, the 101 rejection is maintained because "a coded bitstream..." is still a signal that is directed to nonstatutory subject matter since "signal" is a form of energy, in the absence of any physical structure or tangible material, and therefore does not fall within one of the four statutory classes of 35 U.S.C. § 101. Thus, claims 27-29 must be cancelled.

Regarding the last paragraph on page 17 of applicant's remarks about claims 1-5, 7-16, 18-22 and 27-35, applicant traverses the rejection of these claims and incorporate the arguments set forth in the remarks which clearly set forth that the incorporation of MPEG-2's group of pictures interframe and intraframe compression techniques within the teachings of Lee et al. would require a modification of Lee et al.'s invention to be unsatisfactory for its expressly articulated intended purpose. The examiner respectfully disagrees. In response to applicant's argument that Lee and Linzer would be modified in an unsatisfactory manner for its intended purpose, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably



Art Unit: 2621

distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. The examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Linzer into the system of Lee for permitting accurately, efficiently encoding multiple video streaming image data while maintaining high image quality, as suggested in Linzer's column 4, lines 39-42.

Thus, the rejection of the claims is maintained.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

The USPTO "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" (Official Gazette notice of 22 November 2005), Annex IV, reads as follows:

Claims that recite nothing but the physical characteristics of a form of energy, such as a frequency, voltage, or the strength of a magnetic field, define energy or magnetism, per se, and as such are nonstatutory natural phenomena. *O'Reilly*, 56 U.S. (15 How.) at 112-14. Moreover, it does not appear that a claim reciting a signal encoded with functional descriptive material falls within any of the categories of patentable subject matter set forth in Sec. 101.

... a signal does not fall within one of the four statutory classes of Sec. 101.

... signal claims are ineligible for patent protection because they do not fall within any of the four

statutory classes of Sec. 101.

Claim 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter as follows. Claims 27-29 define “**a coded bitstream stored in a tangible computer readable medium having portions of the bitstream encoded using different encoders according to encoder models...**” with descriptive material. While “functional descriptive material” may be claimed as a statutory product (i.e., a “manufacture”) when embodied on a tangible computer readable medium, “**a coded bitstream...**” embodying that same functional descriptive material is neither a process nor a product (i.e., a tangible “thing”) and therefore does not fall within one of the four statutory classes of § 101. Rather, “signal” is a form of energy, in the absence of any physical structure or tangible material.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-5, 7-16, 18-22 and 27-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (5,748,789) in view of Linzer (6,094,457).

Regarding claim 1, Lee discloses a method of encoding video content, the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2621

assigning a predefined model to each of at least two video content portions of the video content (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b); and

routing each of the at least two video content portions to one of a plurality of encoders based on a respective one of the predefined models assigned to each of the at least two video content portions (col.42, ln.47-61, Lee discloses that each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is routed or assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask),

wherein the assigning a predefined model to each of the at least two video content portions (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note

Art Unit: 2621

frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b) further comprises:

comparing descriptors associated with each of the at least two video content portions with corresponding stored model descriptors from a plurality of predefined content models (col.51, ln.4-59; note there are plural flags that can aid the determination of the video portions of the video content; col.50, ln.18-41, Lee discloses the comparison of the frames, in particular, the comparison is done with the shape of the first frame that contains its respective video portions and the shape of the second frame that contains its respective video portions), and

assigning each of the at least two video content portions to a respective best content model from the plurality of predefined content models based on the comparing of the descriptors (col.50, ln.27-37, the error computed from the inter-frame shape coding is then applied to assign the best content model based on the interframe comparison of the shapes between the first and second frame data).

Lee does not specifically disclose wherein each of the at least two video portions comprise a temporal, multiframe segment of the video content. However, Linzer teaches that each of the at least two video portions comprise a temporal, multiframe segment of the video content (fig.3, Linzer discloses that elements 32-1 to 32-n are the plural MPEG encoders, as disclosed in col.6, ln.56-58, in that each of the encoders 32-1 to 32-n compress a temporal, multiframe segment of the available video content known in MPEG as a group of frames (GOPs) or a group of frames organized in a temporal, multiframe or grouped frames that can be partitioned into multiple frame segments, and

Art Unit: 2621

that the multiple frame segments are compressed by encoders 32-1 to 32-n).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Linzer into the system of Lee for permitting accurately, efficiently encoding multiple video streaming image data while maintaining high image quality (Linzer col.4, ln.39-42).

Regarding claim 2, Lee discloses the at least two video content portions are video segments (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b).

Regarding claim 3, Lee discloses the at least two video content portions are video subsegments (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b).

Regarding claim 4, Lee discloses the at least two video content portions are video regions of interest (fig.33, element 1502, col.42, ln.34-46, and fig.35, note video object information is extracted and segmented from the input video sequence, and segments and subsegments of the regions of interest are identified, and in fig.35 discloses extracting multiple video objects 1540, 1542 and 1544b; fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b).

Regarding claim 5, Lee discloses a generic encoder model (fig.33 and col.42, ln.62-65; note object coders 1504-1508 encode video portions associated with the generic model; and fig.36, note the coder shown is used to encode the video portions).

Regarding claim 7, Lee discloses one of the plurality of predefined content models includes a generic video content model (fig.33 and col.42, ln.62-65; note object coders 1504-1508 encode video portions associated with the generic model; and fig.36, note the coder shown is used to encode the video portions).

Regarding claim 8, Lee discloses wherein assigning a predefined model to each of at least two video content portions of the video content further comprises assigning the generic video content model to a video content portion of the at least two video content portions if none of the other models from the plurality of predefined content models is assigned to the video content portion (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video

Art Unit: 2621

portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b; ).

Regarding claim 9, Lee discloses a method of encoding video content, the method comprising:

identifying video subsegments and regions of interest within at least two video portions from the video content (fig.33, element 1502, col.42, ln.34-46, and fig.35, note video object information is extracted and segmented from the input video sequence, and segments and subsegments of the regions of interest are identified, and in fig.35 discloses extracting multiple video objects 1540, 1542 and 1544b; fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b);

assigning a predefined encoder model to each at least two video portion according to a characteristic of each of the at least two video portions, the predefined encoder model being chosen from a plurality of predefined models or a generic model (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974,

Art Unit: 2621

976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538

consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b);

encoding each of the at least two video portions associated with the generic encoder model with a generic encoder (fig.33 and col.42, ln.62-65; note object coders 1504-1508 encode video portions associated with the generic model; and fig.36, note the coder shown is used to encode the video portions); and

encoding each of the at least two video portions associated with the plurality of predefined encoder models with an encoder chosen from a plurality of encoders, each of the plurality of encoders being associated with one of the plurality of predefined models (fig.33 and col.43, ln.10-15; note the multiplexer 1510 is used to multiplex and encode video portions from plural video object encoders 1504-1508; and fig.36, note the coder shown is used to encode the video portions), wherein

the assigning a predefined encoder model to each of the at least two video portions according to a characteristic of each of the at least two video portions (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b) further comprises:



Art Unit: 2621

comparing first descriptors associated with the at least two video portions and second descriptors associated with the subsegments and the regions of interest with corresponding stored model descriptors from a plurality of predefined content models (col.51, ln.4-59; note there are plural flags that can aid the determination of the video portions of the video content; col.50, ln.18-41, Lee discloses the comparison of the frames, in particular, the comparison is done with the shape of the first frame that contains its respective video portions and the shape of the second frame that contains its respective video portions), and

assigning each of the at least two video content portions to a respective best content model based on the comparing of the first and the second descriptors (col.50, ln.27-37, the error computed from the inter-frame shape coding is then applied to assign the best content model based on the interframe comparison of the shapes between the first and second frame data).

Lee does not specifically disclose wherein each of the at least two video portions comprise a temporal, multiframe segment of the video content. However, Linzer teaches that each of the at least two video portions comprise a temporal, multiframe segment of the video content (fig.3, Linzer discloses that elements 32-1 to 32-n are the plural MPEG encoders, as disclosed in col.6, ln.56-58, in that each of the encoders 32-1 to 32-n compress a temporal, multiframe segment of the available video content known in MPEG as a group of frames (GOPs) or a group of frames organized in a temporal, multiframe or grouped frames that can be partitioned into multiple frame segments, and that the multiple frame segments are compressed by encoders 32-1 to 32-n).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Linzer into the system of Lee for permitting accurately, efficiently encoding multiple video streaming image data while maintaining high image quality (Linzer col.4, ln.39-42).

Regarding claim 10, Lee discloses producing the first descriptors associated with the at least two video portions of the video content (col.51, ln.4-59; note there are plural flags that can aid the determination of the video portions of the video content; col.50, ln.18-41, Lee discloses the comparison of the frames, in particular, the comparison is done with the shape of the first frame that contains its respective video portions and the shape of the second frame that contains its respective video portions); producing the second descriptors associated with the video subsegments and the regions of interest (col.51, ln.4-59; note there are plural flags that can aid the determination of the video portions of the video content; col.50, ln.18-41, Lee discloses the comparison of the frames, in particular, the comparison is done with the shape of the first frame that contains its respective video portions and the shape of the second frame that contains its respective video portions).

Regarding claim 11, Lee discloses encoding the first and second descriptors (fig.33 and col.43, ln.10-15; note the multiplexer 1510 is used to multiplex and encode video portions from plural video object encoders 1504-1508; and fig.36, note the coder shown is used to encode the video portions, that includes coding the first and second descriptors).

Regarding claim 12, Lee discloses wherein the first and second descriptors are used to determine whether the generic encoder or an encoder from a plurality of encoders was used to encode the at least two video portions (fig.33 and col.43, ln.10-15; note the multiplexer 1510 is used to multiplex and encode video portions from plural

Art Unit: 2621

video object encoders 1504-1508; and fig.36, note the coder shown is used to encode the video portions, that includes coding the first and second descriptors).

Regarding claim 13, Lee discloses a method of encoding video content, the method comprising:

if a video portion of at least two video portions of the video content relates to one of a plurality of predefined encoder models (fig.33, element 1502, col.42, ln.34-46, and fig.35, note video object information is extracted and segmented from the input video sequence, and segments and subsegments of the regions of interest are identified, and in fig.35 discloses extracting multiple video objects 1540, 1542 and 1544b; fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b),

assigning the video content portion to a related, predefined encoder model chosen from the plurality of predefined encoder models (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b);

if a video content portion of the at least two video content portions of the video content does not relate to one of the plurality of predefined encoder models, assigning

Art Unit: 2621

the video content portion to a generic encoder model (fig.33 and col.42, ln.62-65, Lee discloses the object coders 1504-1508 are used to encode the video portions associated with the generic model, in fig.36, the coder shown is used to encode the video portions in a generic manner or model);

encoding each of the at least two video content portions associated with the generic encoder model using a generic encoder (fig.33 and col.42, ln.62-65; note object coders 1504-1508 encode video portions associated with the generic model; and fig.36, note the coder shown is used to encode the video portions in a generic manner or model); and

encoding each of the at least two video content portions associated with one of the predefined encoder models with an encoder from a plurality of encoders (fig.33 and col.43, ln.10-15; note the multiplexer 1510 is used to multiplex and encode video portions from plural video object encoders 1504-1508; and fig.36, note the coder shown is used to encode the video portions),

wherein the assigning the video content portion to a related, predefined encoder model chosen from the plurality of predefined encoder models (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to

Art Unit: 2621

form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b) further comprises:

comparing descriptors associated with the video content portion with corresponding stored model descriptors from a plurality of predefined encoder models (col.51, ln.4-59; note there are plural flags that can aid the determination of the video portions of the video content; col.50, ln.18-41, Lee discloses the comparison of the frames, in particular, the comparison is done with the shape of the first frame that contains its respective video portions and the shape of the second frame that contains its respective video portions), and

assigning the video content portion to a best encoder model from the plurality of predefined encoder models based on the comparing of the descriptors (col.50, ln.27-37, the error computed from the inter-frame shape coding is then applied to assign the best content model based on the interframe comparison of the shapes between the first and second frame data).

Lee does not specifically disclose wherein each of the at least two video portions comprise a temporal, multiframe segment of the video content. However, Linzer teaches that each of the at least two video portions comprise a temporal, multiframe segment of the video content (fig.3, Linzer discloses that elements 32-1 to 32-n are the plural MPEG encoders, as disclosed in col.6, ln.56-58, in that each of the encoders 32-1 to 32-n compress a temporal, multiframe segment of the available video content known in MPEG as a group of frames (GOPs) or a group of frames organized in a temporal, multiframe or grouped frames that can be partitioned into multiple frame segments, and

Art Unit: 2621

that the multiple frame segments are compressed by encoders 32-1 to 32-n).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Linzer into the system of Lee for permitting accurately, efficiently encoding multiple video streaming image data while maintaining high image quality (Linzer col.4, ln.39-42).

Regarding claim 14, Lee discloses wherein each encoder from a plurality of encoders is associated with one of the predefined encoder models of the plurality of predefined encoder models (fig.33 and col.43, ln.10-15; note the multiplexer 1510 is used to multiplex and encode video portions from plural video object encoders 1504-1508; and fig.36, note the coder shown is used to encode the video portions).

Regarding claim 15, Lee discloses a method of encoding video content divided into a at least two portions (fig.33, element 1502, col.42, ln.34-46, and fig.35, note video object information is extracted and segmented from the input video sequence, and segments and subsegments of the regions of interest are identified, and in fig.35 discloses extracting multiple video objects 1540, 1542 and 1544b; fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b), each of the at least two portions being associated with either a generic encoder model or an encoder model chosen from a plurality of predefined encoder models (fig.33 and col.42, ln.62-65; note object coders 1504-1508 encode video portions associated with the generic model; and fig.36, note the coder shown is used to encode the video portions), the method comprising:

comparing descriptors associated with the at least two portions with corresponding stored model descriptors from a plurality of predefined encoder models (col.51, ln.4-59; note there are plural flags that can aid the determination of the video portions of the video content; col.50, ln.18-41, Lee discloses the comparison of the frames, in particular, the comparison is done with the shape of the first frame that contains its respective video portions and the shape of the second frame that contains its respective video portions);

assigning each of the at least two portions to a respective best encoder model from the plurality of predefined encoder models based on the comparing of the descriptors (col.50, ln.27-37, the error computed from the inter-frame shape coding is then applied to assign the best content model based on the interframe comparison of the shapes between the first and second frame data);

routing each of the at least two portions that is not assigned a respective best encoder model from the plurality of encoder models to a generic encoder (fig.33 and col.42, ln.62-65, Lee discloses the object coders 1504-1508 are used to encode the video portions associated with the generic model, in fig.36, the coder shown is used to encode the video portions in a generic manner or model); and

routing each of the at least two portions assigned to the respective best encoder model of the plurality of predefined encoder models to an encoder associated with the respective best encoder model (col.50, ln.27-37, the error computed from the inter-frame shape coding is then applied to assign the best content model based on the interframe comparison of the shapes between the first and second frame data).

Art Unit: 2621

Lee does not specifically disclose wherein each of the at least two video portions comprise a temporal, multiframe segment of the video content. However, Linzer teaches that each of the at least two video portions comprise a temporal, multiframe segment of the video content (fig.3, Linzer discloses that elements 32-1 to 32-n are the plural MPEG encoders, as disclosed in col.6, ln.56-58, in that each of the encoders 32-1 to 32-n compress a temporal, multiframe segment of the available video content known in MPEG as a group of frames (GOPs) or a group of frames organized in a temporal, multiframe or grouped frames that can be partitioned into multiple frame segments, and that the multiple frame segments are compressed by encoders 32-1 to 32-n). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Linzer into the system of Lee for permitting accurately, efficiently encoding multiple video streaming image data while maintaining high image quality (Linzer col.4, ln.39-42).

Regarding claim 16, Lee discloses wherein each encoder from a plurality of encoders is optimized for each predefined encoder model of the plurality of encoder models (fig.33 and col.43, ln.10-15; note the multiplexer 1510 is used to multiplex and encode video portions from plural video object encoders 1504-1508; and fig.36, note the coder shown is used to encode the video portions, thus optimizing the encoders for each predefined model of plural encoder models).

Regarding claim 18, Lee discloses a method of producing a bitstream coded according to video content, the method comprising:



associating each of at least two portions of the video content to either a generic encoder model or a predefined encoder model chosen from a plurality of predefined encoder models (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b);

routing each of the at least two portions associated with the generic encoder model to a generic encoder (fig.33 and col.42, ln.62-65; note object coders 1504-1508 encode video portions associated with the generic model; and fig.36, note the coder shown is used to encode the video portions in a generic manner or model); and

routing each of the at least two portions associated with an encoder model of the plurality of predefined encoder models to one of a plurality of encoders, wherein each encoder of the plurality of encoders is associated with one of the predefined encoder models (fig.33 and col.43, ln.10-15; note the multiplexer 1510 is used to multiplex and encode video portions from plural video object encoders 1504-1508; and fig.36, note the coder shown is used to encode the video portions),

wherein the associating each of the at least two portions of the video content to either a generic encoder model or a predefined encoder model chosen from a plurality of predefined encoder models (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary

Art Unit: 2621

shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b) further comprises:

comparing descriptors associated with each of the at least two portions with corresponding stored model descriptors from the plurality of predefined encoder models (col.51, ln.4-59; note there are plural flags that can aid the determination of the video portions of the video content; col.50, ln.18-41, Lee discloses the comparison of the frames, in particular, the comparison is done with the shape of the first frame that contains its respective video portions and the shape of the second frame that contains its respective video portions), and

associating each of the at least two portions with a respective best encoder model from the plurality of predefined encoder models or the generic encoder model based on the comparing of the descriptors (col.50, ln.27-37, the error computed from the inter-frame shape coding is then applied to assign the best content model based on the interframe comparison of the shapes between the first and second frame data).

Lee does not specifically disclose wherein each of the at least two video portions comprise a temporal, multiframe segment of the video content. However, Linzer teaches that each of the at least two video portions comprise a temporal, multiframe

Art Unit: 2621

segment of the video content (fig.3, Linzer discloses that elements 32-1 to 32-n are the plural MPEG encoders, as disclosed in col.6, ln.56-58, in that each of the encoders 32-1 to 32-n compress a temporal, multiframe segment of the available video content known in MPEG as a group of frames (GOPs) or a group of frames organized in a temporal, multiframe or grouped frames that can be partitioned into multiple frame segments, and that the multiple frame segments are compressed by encoders 32-1 to 32-n).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Linzer into the system of Lee for permitting accurately, efficiently encoding multiple video streaming image data while maintaining high image quality (Linzer col.4, ln.39-42).

Regarding claim 19, Lee discloses multiplexing each portion and transmitting each portion in a bitstream (fig.33 and col.43, ln.10-15; note the multiplexer 1510 is used to multiplex and encode video portions from plural video object encoders 1504-1508; and fig.36, note the coder shown is used to encode the video portions).

Regarding claim 20, Lee discloses locating subsegments and regions of interest in the extracted portions (fig.33, element 1502, col.42, ln.34-46, and fig.35, note video object information is extracted and segmented from the input video sequence, and segments and subsegments of the regions of interest are identified, and in fig.35 discloses extracting multiple video objects 1540, 1542 and 1544b; fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b).

Regarding claim 21, Lee discloses a method of encoding a bitstream using a plurality of encoders, the method comprising:

mapping each of at least two segments extracted from video content to a predefined encoder model (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b); and

routing the at least two extracted and mapped segments to one of the plurality of encoders based on the mapping to the respective predefined encoder model (col.42, ln.47-61, Lee discloses that each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is routed or assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask),

wherein the mapping each of at least two segments extracted from the video content to a predefined encoder model (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions,

Art Unit: 2621

elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b) further comprises:

comparing descriptors associated with each of the at least two extracted segments with corresponding stored model descriptors from the plurality of predefined encoder models (col.51, ln.4-59; note there are plural flags that can aid the determination of the video portions of the video content; col.50, ln.18-41, Lee discloses the comparison of the frames, in particular, the comparison is done with the shape of the first frame that contains its respective video portions and the shape of the second frame that contains its respective video portions), and

mapping each of the at least two extracted segments to a respective best encoder model from the plurality of predefined encoder models based on the comparing (col.50, ln.27-37, the error computed from the inter-frame shape coding is then applied to assign the best content model based on the interframe comparison of the shapes between the first and second frame data).

Lee does not specifically disclose wherein each of the at least two video portions comprise a temporal, multiframe segment of the video content. However, Linzer teaches that each of the at least two video portions comprise a temporal, multiframe segment of the video content (fig.3, Linzer discloses that elements 32-1 to 32-n are the plural MPEG encoders, as disclosed in col.6, ln.56-58, in that each of the encoders 32-1 to 32-n compress a temporal, multiframe segment of the available video content known

Art Unit: 2621

in MPEG as a group of frames (GOPs) or a group of frames organized in a temporal, multiframe or grouped frames that can be partitioned into multiple frame segments, and that the multiple frame segments are compressed by encoders 32-1 to 32-n).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Linzer into the system of Lee for permitting accurately, efficiently encoding multiple video streaming image data while maintaining high image quality (Linzer col.4, ln.39-42).

Regarding claim 22, Lee discloses locating subsegments and regions of interest in the extracted segments (fig.33, element 1502, col.42, ln.34-46, and fig.35, note video object information is extracted and segmented from the input video sequence, and segments and subsegments of the regions of interest are identified, and in fig.35 discloses extracting multiple video objects 1540, 1542 and 1544b; fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b).

Regarding claims 27-29, Lee discloses a coded bitstream having portions of the bitstream encoded using different encoders according to encoder models associated with a subject matter of each portion of the bitstream, the coded bitstream encoded according to the method of claims 1, 18 and 21, respectively (fig.33 and col.42, ln.62-65; note different video object coders 1504-1508 encode video portions associated with the generic model; col.43, ln.10-15; note the multiplexer 1510 is used to multiplex and encode video portions from plural different video object encoders 1504-1508).

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 30, Lee discloses wherein the assigning a predefined model to each of at least two video content portions of the video content further comprises assigning a different predefined model to each of the at least two video content portions of the video content (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b; fig.33 and col.42, ln.62-65; note different video object coders 1504-1508 encode video portions associated with the generic model; col.43, ln.10-15; note the multiplexer 1510 is used to multiplex and encode video portions from plural different video object encoders 1504-1508).

Regarding claim 31, Lee discloses wherein the assigning a predefined encoder model to each of at least two video portions according to a characteristic of each of the at least two video further comprises assigning a different predefined encoder model to each of the at least two video portions of the video content (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are

Art Unit: 2621

triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b; fig.33 and col.42, ln.62-65; note different video object coders 1504-1508 encode video portions associated with the generic model; col.43, ln.10-15; note the multiplexer 1510 is used to multiplex and encode video portions from plural different video object encoders 1504-1508).

Regarding claim 32, Lee discloses wherein the assigning the video content portion to a related, predefined encoder model chosen from the plurality of predefined encoder models further comprises assigning each of the at least two video content portions of the video content to a different one of the predefined encoder models (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b; fig.33 and col.42, ln.62-65; note different video object coders 1504-1508 encode video portions associated with the generic model; col.43, ln.10-15; note the multiplexer 1510 is used to multiplex and encode video portions from plural different video object encoders 1504-1508).

Regarding claim 33, Lee discloses wherein assigning each of the at least two portions to a respective best encoder model from the plurality of predefined encoder



Art Unit: 2621

models based on the comparing of the descriptors further comprises assigning each of the at least two portions to a different one of the plurality of predefined encoder models (col.50, ln.27-37, the error computed from the inter-frame shape coding is then applied to assign the best content model based on the interframe comparison of the shapes between the first and second frame data).

Regarding claim 34, Lee discloses the associating each of the at least two portions of the video content to either a generic encoder model or a predefined encoder model further comprises associating each of the at least two portions of the video content to a different encoder model chosen from the generic encoder model of the plurality of predefined encoder models (col.42, ln.47-61; note each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; in fig.27A, note there are at least two video portions, elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982, where there are triangular portions that consist of each of elements 972, 974, 976, 978, 980 and 982 to form a model of a person 970; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b; fig.33 and col.42, ln.62-65; note different video object coders 1504-1508 encode video portions associated with the generic model; col.43, ln.10-15; note the multiplexer 1510 is used to multiplex and encode video portions from plural different video object encoders 1504-1508).

Regarding claim 35, Lee discloses wherein the mapping each of at least two segments extracted from the video content to a predefined encoder model further comprises mapping each of the at least two segments to a different predefined encoder model (col.42, ln.47-61, Lee discloses that each video object has an arbitrary shape, and that each video object is predefined according to its shape, thus, each video object or video portion is routed or assigned a predefined encoder model by a mask of alpha values or a binary mask; fig.35, note frame 1538 consists of multiple portions 1540, 1542, 1544a and 1544b; fig.33 and col.42, ln.62-65; note different video object coders 1504-1508 encode video portions associated with the generic model; col.43, ln.10-15; note the multiplexer 1510 is used to multiplex and encode video portions from plural different video object encoders 1504-1508).

### ***Conclusion***

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

***Contact Information***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-7341. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Thursdays from 8am-6pm Flextime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Allen Wong  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2621

/Allen Wong/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621  
8/7/09

Application/Control Number: 09/874,872  
Art Unit: 2621

Page 35